

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,622	07/20/2001	Yongxian Zeng	M00A283	2851
7	590 09/10/2003			
Philip H. Von Neida Intellectual Property Dept. The BOC Group, Inc.			EXAMINER	
			STRICKLAND, JONAS N	
100 Mountain Ave. Murray Hill, NJ 07974			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• •			1754	

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			Lu/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100
	09/909,622	ZENG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonas N. Strickland	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication app Period for Reply	pears on the c ver she t w	th the corresp ndence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON b, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed  iy (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communic  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	<u>luly 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	ance except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the mer D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	rits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 26-43 is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		isapproved by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armior.		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 LLS C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 0.5.C.	g 113(a)-(u) or (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		polication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bu  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		·
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>			٠
Attachment(s)		1 hours	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4</li> </ol>	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-473) Paper Nos).  Informal Pater TANICE VOS (FSUMBR)  SUPERVISORY PATENT EX  TECHNOLOGY CENTER	CAMINER

Application/Control Number: 09/909,622 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-25 are, drawn to a partial oxidation process, classified in class
   252, subclass 373.
- II. Claims 26-33 are, drawn to a system for a partial oxidation process, classified in class 422, subclass 120.
- III. Claims 34 and 35 are, drawn to a process for producing cyclic anhydrides, classified in class 549, subclass 231.
- IV. Claims 36 and 37 are, drawn to a process for producing alkylene oxide, classified in class 568, subclass 398.8.
- V. Claims 38 and 39 are, drawn to a process for producing chlorinated hydrocarbons, classified in class 562, subclass 512.2.
- VI. Claims 40 and 41 are, drawn to a process for producing aldehydes, classified in class 549, subclass 262.
- VII. Claims 42 and 43 are, drawn to a process for producing nitriles, classified in class 562, subclass 572.2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Art Unit: 1754

806.05(e)). In this case the process for the partial oxidation of at least one hydrocarbon does not require a heat exchanger as required by the apparatus.

- 4. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because, Group III requires an anhydride-forming catalyst, which is not required by the process of Group I.
- 5. Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated because, Group I does not require the alkylene-forming catalyst, which is required in Group IV for producing alkylene oxides.
- 6. Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group I does not require a chlorinated hydrocarbon forming catalyst, which is required for the production of chlorinated hydrocarbons in the process of Group V.
- 7. Inventions I and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In

Art Unit: 1754

the instant case the different inventions unrelated, because the process of Group I does not require an aldehyde-forming catalyst, which is required in Group VI for the production of aldehydes.

- 8. Inventions I and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group I does not require a nitrile-forming catalyst, which is required in the process of Group VII for the production of nitriles.
- 9. Inventions III and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus does not require an anhydride-forming catalyst as required in the process of Group III.
- 10. Inventions IV and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus does not require an alkylene-forming catalyst as required in the process of Group IV.

Art Unit: 1754

Ì,

11. Inventions V and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process, which does not require a chlorinated hydrocarbon-forming catalyst as required in the process of Group V.

- 12. Inventions VI and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process, which does not require an aldehyde-forming catalyst, which is required in the process of Group VI.
- 13. Inventions VII and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another and materially different process, which does not require a nitrile-forming catalyst, which is required in the process of Group VII.

Art Unit: 1754

14. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group III requires a anhydride-forming catalyst for producing cyclic anhydrides, which is not required in the process of Group IV.

- 15. Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group III requires a anhydride-forming catalyst for producing cyclic anhydrides, which is not required in the process of Group V.
- 16. Inventions III and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group III requires a anhydride-forming catalyst for producing cyclic anhydrides, which is not required in the process of Group VI.
- 17. Inventions III and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group III

Art Unit: 1754

requires a anhydride-forming catalyst for producing cyclic anhydrides, which is not required in the process of Group VII.

- 18. Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group IV requires a alkylene-forming catalyst for producing alkylene oxides, which is not required in the process of Group V.
- 19. Inventions IV and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group IV requires a alkylene-forming catalyst for producing alkylene oxides, which is not required in the process of Group VI.
- 20. Inventions IV and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group IV requires a alkylene-forming catalyst for producing alkylene oxides, which is not required in the process of Group VII.
- 21. Inventions V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of

Art Unit: 1754

operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group V requires a chlorinated hydrocarbon-forming catalyst for producing chlorinated hydrocarbons, which is not required in the process of Group VI.

- 22. Inventions V and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group V requires a chlorinated hydrocarbon-forming catalyst for producing chlorinated hydrocarbons, which is not required in the process of Group VII.
- 23. Inventions VI and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are unrelated, because the process of Group VI requires an aldehyde-forming catalyst for producing aldehydes, which is not required in the process of Group VII.
- 24. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 25. During a telephone conversation with Phillip H. Von Neida on 9/5/03 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-25. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 26-43 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

26. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Double Patenting

27. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

28. Claims 1-25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,379,586 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because USP '586 claims a process for producing hydrogen and carbon monoxide by the partial oxidation of at least one hydrocarbon, by passing an oxygen-containing gas at a temperature in the temperature range of about 300 to

Art Unit: 1754

Page 10

about 1400°C, through a reaction zone containing an oxygen-selective mixed conductor, which may be ceramic at an absolute pressure in the range of 0.5 to 50 bara, which adsorbs oxygen from the oxygen-containing gas onto the mixed conductor and passing at least one hydrocarbon through the reaction zone at a temperature in the range of about 300 to about 1400°C, thereby contacting the mixed conductor and reacting with oxygen to produce a product gas.

### Conclusion

29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonas N. Strickland whose telephone number is 703-306-5692. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, 7:30-5:00, off 1st Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0661.

Jonas N. Strickland September 5, 2003 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700